



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,299	10/31/2003	Sinikka Sarkkinen	061604-0251	9873
30542	7590	08/02/2010		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 80278			NGUYEN, KHAI MINH	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,299	SARKKINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KHAI M. NGUYEN	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2010.
2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-4, 9, 13, 14, 37-48 and 55-63 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4, 9, 13-14, 37-48, and 55-63 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 9, 13-14, 37-48, and 55-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9, 13-14, 37-48, and 55-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over XP-002223634 (3GPP TR 23.846 0.4.0 (2002-01)) in view of Meier et al. (U.S.Pat-7493084).

Regarding claim 1, XP teaches a method, comprising:

broadcasting a service notification by a data network as a result of a network-initiated creation of a service context (fig.8, section 7.1.3; the RAN sends an MBMS notification to the UEs within the MBMS service area);

receiving, at a terminal, said service notification from said data network (fig.8, section 7.1.3; the RAN sends an MBMS notification to the UEs within the MBMS service area);

setting up a terminal connection between said terminal and a network controlling device in response to the receipt of said service notification at said terminal (fig.8,

section 7.1.3; receive the MBMS notification send an MBMS radio request message to the network);

XP fails to specification disclose sending, by said terminal, a service indication via said terminal connection to the data network; receiving, at said network controlling device a confirmation of authorized service activation from a subscriber control element and establishing, by said network controlling device, an association between said service context and said terminal connection based on a network response to said service indication.

However, Meier teaches sending, by said terminal, a service indication via said terminal connection to the data network (fig.3, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 18; wireless station sends a message to the access point, the message containing a service set identifier (SSID), which is an arbitrary "name" for a service set; the association request comprising an identifier for the wireless station making the request and a service set identifier indicative of a service set that identifies a type of service for the wireless station); receiving, at said network controlling device a confirmation of authorized service activation from a subscriber control element and establishing (col.2, lines 5-29), by said network controlling device, an association between said service context and said terminal connection based on a network response to said service indication (col.2, lines 5-29; The access point is responsive to accept the association request upon a determination that the access point is configured to support the service set. The access point is responsive to deny the association request upon a determination that the access point is not configured to support the service set).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Meier to XP to provide a method for using resources more efficiently.

Regarding claim 2, XP further teaches service indication is set in a dedicated service indication message (fig.11, section 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 3, XP further teaches service indication is sent in a message used for signaling a connection request or connection completion of said terminal connection (fig.11, section 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 4, XP teaches message is a radio resource control message (fig.8, section 7.1.3).

Regarding claim 9, Meier further teaches service indication is sent in a direct transfer message (col.2, lines 5-29).

Regarding claim 13, XP teaches terminal connection is a radio resource control connection (fig.8, section 7.1.3).

Regarding claim 14, XP teaches service context is a multimedia broadcast multicast service context (fig.8, section 7.1.3).

Regarding claim 37, XP teaches a method comprising:
issuing a service notification to at least one terminal as a result of a creation of a service context, said creation being initiated by a data network (fig.8, section 7.1.3; the RAN sends an MBMS notification to the UEs within the MBMS service area);

XP fails to specification disclose forwarding, by a network controlling device, a service indication received via a terminal connection to a node of the data network; receiving, at said network controlling device, a confirmation of authorized service activation from a subscriber control element; and establishing, by said network controlling device, an association between said service context and said terminal connection based on a network response to said service indication.

However, Meier teaches forwarding, by a network controlling device (fig.3), a service indication received via a terminal connection to a node of the data network (fig.3, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 18; wireless station sends a message to the access point, the message containing a service set identifier (SSID), which is an arbitrary "name" for a service set; the association request comprising an identifier for the wireless station making the request and a service set identifier indicative of a service set that identifies a type of service for the wireless station); receiving, at said network controlling device (fig.3), a confirmation of authorized service activation from a subscriber control element; and establishing, by said network controlling device (col.2, lines 5-29), an association between said service context and said terminal connection based on a network response to said service indication (col.2, lines 5-29; The access point is responsive to accept the association request upon a determination that the access point is configured to support the service set. The access point is responsive to deny the association request upon a determination that the access point is not configured to support the service set).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Meier to XP to provide a method for using resources more efficiently.

Regarding claim 38, XP further teaches forwarding the service indication comprises forwarding an enhanced message from said network controlling device (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1) to the network node having initiated said service context creation, said enhanced message requesting confirmation of authorization of the service of said service context (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 39, XP further teaches network response comprises said confirmation of authorized service activation (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 40, XP further teaches confirmation of authorized service activation is provided by said subscriber control element upon a joining phase for multicast activation (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 41, XP further teaches service indication is forwarded in a direct transfer message to a network node having initiated said service context creation (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 42, XP teaches network node is a serving general packet radio service support node (fig.8).

Regarding claim 43, XP teaches subscriber control element is a serving general packet radio service support node (fig.8), or a gateway general packet radio service support node, or a network element controlled by a service provider.

Regarding claim 44 is rejected same with the reasons of the set forth in claim 13.

Regarding claim 45 is rejected same with the reasons of the set forth in claim 14.

Regarding claim 46, XP further teaches establishing said association comprises adding said service indication into an active set of terminal connections (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1) and generating an association between said terminal connection and said service context (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 47, XP further teaches releasing by said network controlling device, said terminal connection if said network response indicates that the service of said service context is not confirmed (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 48, XP further teaches a method according to claim 37, further comprising extracting said service indication from a connection request or connection complete message or from a dedicated message (fig.6 and 11, sections 7.1.1 and 7.2.2.1).

Regarding claim 55, XP teaches a network controlling device, said network controlling device comprising a processor configured to cause said network controlling device to:

issue a service notification to at least one terminal as a result of a creation of a service context, said creation being initiated by a data network (fig.8, section 7.1.3; the RAN sends an MBMS notification to the UEs within the MBMS service area);

XP fails to specification disclose forward to said data network a service indication received via a terminal connection; receive from a subscriber control element a confirmation of authorized service activation; and establish a link between the service context and the terminal connection based on a network response to said service indication.

However, Meier teaches forward to said data network a service indication received via a terminal connection (fig.3, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 18; wireless station sends a message to the access point, the message containing a service set identifier (SSID), which is an arbitrary "name" for a service set; the association request comprising an identifier for the wireless station making the request and a service set identifier indicative of a service set that identifies a type of service for the wireless station); receive from a subscriber control element a confirmation of authorized service activation (col.2, lines 5-29); and establish a link between the service context and the terminal connection based on a network response to said service indication (col.2, lines 5-29; The access point is responsive to accept the association request upon a determination that the access point is configured to support the service set. The access point is responsive to deny the association request upon a determination that the access point is not configured to support the service set).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Meier to XP to provide a method for using resources more efficiently.

Regarding claim 56 is rejected same with the reasons of the set forth in claim 48.

Regarding claim 57 is rejected same with the reasons of the set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 58 is rejected same with the reasons of the set forth in claim 9.

Regarding claim 59, Meier further teaches processor is configured to cause said network controlling device to forward said service indication in a radio access network application protocol message (fig.3, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 18).

Regarding claim 60, Meier further teaches radio access network application protocol message is an initial user equipment message (fig.3, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 18).

Regarding claim 61, Meier further teaches processor is further configured to add said service indication into an active set of terminal connections and to generate an association between said terminal connection and said service context (fig.3, col.1, line 62 to col.2, line 18).

Regarding claim 62, XP teaches network controlling device is a radio network controller (fig.8).

Regarding claim 63, claim 63 substantially contains the same limitations as of the limitations of claims 1 and 37. Therefore, claim 63 is likewise rejected on the same grounds as of claims 1 and 37.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent P. Harper can be reached on 571.272.7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AJIT PATEL/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Khai M Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

7/20/2010